

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,439	02/23/2004	Kenichi Inoue	7217/71728	2560
530 7590 05/02/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			PEESO, THOMAS R	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2132		
!			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
:		l	05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
٠	Office Action Summary	10/784,439	INOUE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
2a	1 <u></u>	-· action is non-final.					
3	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		·				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
.6	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>5 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ication Papers						
	<u> </u>	·					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>23Feb2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	· · · · ·						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
i	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
1							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
1	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
İ	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
: !	to the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
I		·	•				
Attachment(s)							
1) 🖂	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
į	5, 2 5000						

Application/Control Number: 10/784,439

Art Unit: 2132

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,891,838 to Petite et al.

As per claims 1 and 6, Petite et al.disclose the limitations of these claims (col. 13, line 52 to col. 14, line 8)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/784,439

Art Unit: 2132

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 7-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petite et al. as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 2-4, 7-9, .Petite et al. do not specifically disclose the limitations of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems .It would have been obvious to anyone having a ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included these features in the invention of Petite et al. since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 5,544,036
- U. S. Patent No. 5,619,192
- U. S. Patent No. 5,748,104

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

27 April 2007